

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/766,350	CHATTERJEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Jill A. Jacobson, Ph.D.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 October 2004

Time: 7:15 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-20, 23, 26-30, 32-52, 54-65, 67-76, and 78-124

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner discussed the merit of the amendment filed June 30, 2004, and proposed further amendments to the claims, which would place this application in condition for allowance. Having agreed on such further amendments, Dr. Jacobson authorized entry of an examiner's amendment by which these amendments are to be made..